Pupil Premium Strategy Statement & Evaluation Steeton Primary School



1. Summary information							
School	Steeton Primary School						
Academic Year	2016-2017	Total PP budget	£62040.00	Date of most recent PP Review	July 2017		
Total number of pupils	302	Number of pupils eligible for PP	44	Date for next internal review of this strategy	January 2017		

	Pupil eligile for PP			Pupils	s <u>not</u> eligible f	or PP	Difference			
Year Group and Number of PP Pupils	Reading	Writing	Maths	Reading	Writing	Maths	Reading	Writing	Maths	
End KS1 (7)	71% EXP	71% EXP	42% EXP	78% EXP	76% EXP	84% EXP	-7% EXP	-7% EXP	-42% EXP	
	14% GDS	15% GDS	14% GDS	25%GDS	22% GDS	33% GDS	-11% GDS	-7% GDS	-19% GDS	
End KS2	70% EXP	90% EXP	90% EXP	62% EXP	66% EXP	72% EXP	+8% EXP	+24% EXP	+18 EXP	
	20% GDS	10% GDS	20% EXP	19% GDS	7% GDS	12% GDS	+1% GDS	+3% GDS	+8% GDS	

1. End of 2016-2017	1. End of 2016-2017 Attainment: Pupils at Age related expectations									
	Pup	il eligile for	PP	Pupils not eligible for PP			Difference			
Year Group and Number of PP Pupils	Reading	Writing	Maths	Reading	Writing	Maths	Reading	Writing	Maths	
Rec. (1)	0%	0%	0%	68%	68%	71%	-68%	-68%	-71%	
Year 1 (6)	33%	33%	67%	59%	59%	88%	-26%	-26%	-21%	
Year 2 (7)	71%	71%	43%	78%	76%	78%	-7%	-5%	-35%	
Year 3 (4)	50%	75%	75%	35%	45%	35%	+15%	+30%	+40%	
Year 4 (8)	12%	0%	25%	50%	13%	51%	-38%	-13%	-26%	
Year 5 (9)	67%	11%	78%	75%	18%	42%	-7%	-7%	+36%	
Year 6 (10)	70%	90%	90%	62%	67%	73%	+8%	+23%	+17%	

NPP= Non Pupil Premium PP = Pupils in receipt of pupil premium funding.

Strengths &	• Pupils eligible for pupil premium at the end of KS2 outperform all other pupils at expected and greater than expected standard
Evaluation of	• As a result of focusing and intensifying intervention-This shift toward outperforming non-pupil premium children gains traction in
2016-2017:	Upper Key stage 2- resulting in consistently good outcomes for pupil premium children at the end of Key Stage 2. Schools 2017-
Attainment	2018 Y6 PP children look set to perform as well or better than NPP children.
for pupil premium	 Year 1 PP children have not performed as well as NPP children across R/W/M and this will be reflecyed in action planning and provisioning for this group across 2017-2018.
children	 Outcomes for NPP children are slightly above PP children in Writing and Reading at the end of Key Stage 1 for 16/17 However NPP children performed significantly better than PP in maths – an area which will need to be targeted both for 2017-2018 Year 2 cohort PP children and the affected PP children moving into Year 3
	 Year 3's outcomes for PP children are positive against NPP children, but with a risk of underachievement in reading which will need to be a focus area for this cohort moving into year 4.
	 Y4 PP children have underperformed against NPP children across all 3 subjects of reading writing and maths. Y4 PP children will be targeted along with all Y4 children moving into Y5 particularly in Reading and Writing.

Barrie	rs to future attainment (for pupils eligible for PP including high ability)
In-scl	nool barriers
	High ability pupils who are eligible for PP are making less progress than other high ability pupils across KS1.
	Children in KS1 of expected standard who are eligible for pupil premium do not make as much progress as other children at the same level.
	Pupil premium perform significantly less well than other children in mathematics in KS1
	Although Pupil premium children leave Steeton Primary School achieving above national average attainment and expectations- there is a spiky profile of attainment across other year groups which needs further work. Action planning and pupil progress and target setting meetings will reflect the need for a less erratic profile through the year groups for this group of children.
Exter	nal barriers
	Low aspirations of a small group of PP pupils.
	Attendance of a small group of pupils.

2. Outcomes	
Desired outcomes and how they will be measured	Success criteria
Maintain excellent outcomes for PP children at the end of year 6	• This group of children continue to achieve attainment above the national average for the Year and equal to or above their NPP counterparts.
PP children access all areas of the curriculum including extra- curricular	• PP children will take up the musical, sporting and curriculum opportunities offerd by the school.
PP children have an opportunity to express any concerns that they may have in school or at home	PP children have accessed learning mentor support throughout the year.
PP children's individual needs are catered for throughout Pupil progress and target setting meetings.	Children make good progress to achieving age related standards

Quality of teaching for all							
Desired outcome	Action	What is the evidence and rationale for this choice?	How and when will it be monitored?	Staff lead	Actual outcome	Evaluation & next steps	
Additional teaching assistant time. £6000	LSA time used to provide small group interventions for groups of underachieving children	LSA used out of class on bespoke interventions provides greater acceleration of progress than in class support.	SENCO / HOS	SA/KW	Good outcome for PP children in Year 5/6 but mixed across rest of school.	More focused support required for maths interventions in KS1 for PP children	
Grant towards Creative Curriculum & curriculum visits to provide a wealth of diverse experience for 'Ever 6 FSM' 'children. £5,500	Use the Creative Curriculum as an additional vehicle for Reading and Writing. Use educational visits to enhance the experiences of PP children who may not otherwise have the opportunity.	Rational is that when pupils are hooked into their learning through concrete experiences their progress accelerates.	Phase Leaders HoS	KW	Good outcome for PP children in Year 5/6 but mixed across rest of school. PP grant allows school to provide a diverse and rich curriculum which supports basic skills across the curriculum. Without financial provision for PP children school would not be able to run trips at a "loss".	Good value for money continue into 2017-2018	
Additional HLTA for reception/Key Stage 1 Transition/Raising Attainment £18,700	Use Transition HLTA to work with targeted children to raise attainment across this PP group.	Early intervention at Year 1 will provide a good spring board into Year 2	Phase leaders HoS	JD/KW	Provision of transition lead for reception children into year 1 is a huge success on many levels which would be quantified as soft data. However for sis resource allocation to be more effective at raising standards for PP children in year 1. School leaders will need to ensure that less time is used for covering classes and more time for bespoke targeted intervention.	More bespoke targeted intervention required 2017-2018	

Additional Y6 Teaching time. (focus on vulnerable grouping including £6500.00	To provide additional teaching time in year 5/6 to enable school to provide focused teaching groups.	Focused grouping for Reading writing maths in Year 5/6 has allowed teaching staff to finely tune groups and planning for thise groups more closely towards their needs	KS2 Leader/ H of S	SW/KW	Good outcome for PP children in Year 5/6 but mixed across rest of school.	Good value for money continue into 2017-2018
	Total budgeted cost					£36700.00

Targeted sup	port					
Desired outcome	Action	What is the evidence and rationale for this choice?	How and when will it be monitored?	Staff lead	Actual outcome	Evaluation & next steps
One to one tuition for Year 5 & 6 children. 10,000	• Use Pupil progress process to identify PP children who would benefit from one to one maths tuition.	This approach has provided both confidence and accelarated progress for participants in eqaul measure.	Half termly assessment intervals with PP analysis taken to school improvement committee.	SP/SW	Year 5 & 6 PP pupils outperform NPP children by 36% & 17% respectively	Good value for money continue for 2017-2018
Boosting classes for Year 6 children. 3,000	Use Pupil progress process to identify PP children who would benefit from boosting across Reading Writing or	Historically providing booster lessons for year 6 children has accelerated progress and allowed teachers to concentrate on next steps fr children	Half termly assessment intervals with PP analysis taken to school improvement committee.	SW/KW	Y6 PP children outperform NPP children in school and nationally.	Good value for money continue for 2017-2018
	•	1	Total budget	ed cost		£13,000.00

Other approaches					
Desired outcome	Chosen action/approach	What is the evidence and rationale for this choice?	How will you ensure it is implemented well?	Staff lead	When will you review implement ation?
Grant towards free access to after school clubs for PP children.				Phase leaders teaching staff	
Provision of pyramid club (self-esteem) which PP children access	A range of opportunities to enhance self-esteem and provide equal opportunities for all children.	PP children need to access the same opportunities that all other children do. School further encourages children economically disadvantaged backgrounds to take up the opportunities that are offered to them.	As part of all pupil discussions in pupil progress meetings, teaching staff are encouraged to think of ways that PP children can be involved in addition/extracurricular activities which will enhance well being	Phase leaders teaching staff	
Provision of Music tuition /free mil/free fruit for PP children.			J	Phase leaders teaching staff	
Provision of learning mentor support for PP children				HD/KW	
	1	1	Total b	udgeted cost	£12340.00